

## Saxon - Bug #4820

### Expression `[1,2,3] castable as xs:integer` returns true

2020-11-10 12:17 - Michael Kay

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	2020-11-10
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Michael Kay	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	XPath conformance	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Sprint/Milestone:</b>		<b>Spent time:</b>	0:00 hour
<b>Legacy ID:</b>		<b>Fix Committed on Branch:</b>	10, trunk
<b>Applies to branch:</b>	10, trunk	<b>Fixed in Maintenance Release:</b>	10.5
<b>Description</b>			
Raised by Ghislain Fourny on xquery-talk list.			
Test case CastableAs664 added to QT3.			

## History

### #1 - 2020-11-10 12:20 - Michael Kay

CastableExpression.typeCheck() has the logic

```
        if (converter.isAlwaysSuccessful() && !allowsEmpty() && operand.getCardinality() == StaticProperty.ALLOWS_ONE) {
            return Literal.makeLiteral(BooleanValue.TRUE, this);
        }
```

This wrongly assumes that if the value of the operand is a singleton, then its atomized value will also be a singleton.

### #2 - 2020-11-19 13:24 - Michael Kay

- Status changed from New to In Progress

The code for CastableExpression has the comment:

```
// We need to take care here. The usual strategy of wrapping the operand in an expression that
// does type-checking doesn't work here, because an error in the type checking should be caught,
// while an error in evaluating the expression as written should not.
```

I think this suggests it was written before the spec was clarified to say that an error that occurs when atomizing the operand is treated as an error, not as a false result.

I'm therefore going to try doing a staticTypeCheck() call on the operand, with an expected type of xs:anyAtomicType.

### #3 - 2020-11-19 13:40 - Michael Kay

By doing a "standard" call on staticTypeCheck with an expected type of xs:anyAtomicType\*, we pass all the CastableExpr tests including the new one. There are two "wrong error code" results:

CastableAs666 and CastableAs668 both return FOTY0013 rather than XPTY0004.

These are recent tests and a careful reading of the spec shows that the expected error code is wrong, so I will fix the tests.

### #4 - 2020-11-19 13:43 - Michael Kay

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- Applies to branch 10, trunk added

- Fix Committed on Branch 10, trunk added

### #5 - 2021-04-14 17:49 - O'Neil Delpratt

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

- Fixed in Maintenance Release 10.5 added

Bug fix applied to Saxon 10.5 maintenance release.